

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner has indicated the allowability of Claims 11-14. Even though the Applicants strongly disagree with the Examiner's rejection of Claims 1-10 in the Office Action dated March 10, 2006, Applicants have amended Claims 3-10 to depend upon the allowable claims and have cancelled Claims 1 and 2.

In the Office Action dated March 10, 2006, the Examiner has confused the limitation to a "control message" in Applicants' claims with an IP header as described in the Martin reference. Equating a "control message" with an IP header is a mistake. However, in order to move the case forward, Applicants have amended Claims 3-10 to depend upon the allowable claims. As such the Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein and no amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.

By: /Indira Saladi/  
Indira Saladi

1303 East Algonquin Road  
IL01/3<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
Schaumburg, IL 60196  
Customer Number: 22917

Attorney of Record  
Reg. No.: 45,759

Telephone: 847-576-6735  
Fax No.: 847-576-0721